

**R -matrices for highest weight representations
of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ at roots of unity**

T.S. Hakobyan
*Yerevan State University, Manukyan
st. 9, Yerevan, Armenia*

and

A.G. Sedrakyan ^{*}
*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique ENSLAPP [†]
Chemin de Bellevue BP 110, F - 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

Abstract

We obtain the general formula for R -matrices of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ for the highest weight representations both for general q and for q being a root of unity by generalizing G. Gomez's and Sierra's one for semiperiodic representations of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ at roots of unity. In a case of general q we obtain direct matrix form for the well known Jimbo's R -matrix. In a case of $q^N = 1$ for semiperiodic and spin $j < \frac{N-1}{2}$ representations we obtain the new R -matrices which together with Jimbo's one obey the spectral parameter dependent Yang-Baxter equations.

ENSLAPP-A-406/92
October 1992

^{*}permanent address : Yerevan Physics Institute, Br. Alikhanian, st.2, Yerevan 36, Armenia
[†]URA 14-36 du CNRS, associée à l'E.N.S. de Lyon et au L.A.P.P. (IN2P3-CNRS) d'Annecy-le-Vieux

Quantum groups had been introduced by Drinfeld [1] and Jimbo [2]. Shortly speaking, quantum group is some quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, parametrized by some parameter q [1]. They play an important role in two-dimensional integrable statistic models and conformal field theory.

For general q irreducible representations of quantum algebras are in one to one correspondence with the representations of the classical algebras [2, 15]. For q being a root of 1 this is not true. Irreducible representations in this case had been considered in [7, 10, 11]. They are parametrized by some complex numbers.

Due to Hopf algebra structure one can consider an intertwiner on tensor products of two irreducible representations [1, 2]. In case of general q intertwiners satisfy Yang-Baxter equations [1, 3], that is the integrability condition for the two dimensional systems.

For q being a root of unity the standard expression of the universal R -matrix [1] is ill defined because of singularities. In [9] Bazhanov and Stroganov showed how the chiral Potts model [17, 18] is related to the theory of cyclic representations of the quantum algebra $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ at $q^N = 1$. Intertwiners between semiperiodic representations of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ had been considered in [4]. In [12, 14] the intertwiners between semiperiodic and spin $j \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$ representations, where N is a minimal integer, satisfying the condition $q^N = 1$, had been considered. In [4] Gomez and Sierra found an interesting recursive formula for intertwiners of semiperiodic representations, having a reflection symmetry.

In this article we generalize this formula for the case of affine $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ algebra. It can be used for the highest weight representations of ordinary $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ algebra. In a particular case of general q we obtain well known Jimbo's R -matrix [2], written in the matrix form. In case of q being an odd root of 1 by acting our general formula to tensor product of semiperiodic representation and spin $j \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$ representation, we obtain baxterization of intertwiners, considered in [12]. As a consequence we obtain new solutions of spectral parameter dependent Yang-Baxter equations. We show also that the intertwiners, considered in [4, 5], are particular cases of ones, obtained in [6] by a different approach.

Let us recall the definition of the affine quantum algebra $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. It is generated by generators e_i, f_i, h_i , satisfying the following relations [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} [h_i, e_j] &= a_{ij} e_j & [h_i, f_j] &= -a_{ij} f_j & [h_i, h_j] &= 0 \\ [e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{ij} [h_j]_q := \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{h_i} - q^{-h_i}}{q - q^{-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(Here $a_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is Cartan matrix of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$, q is a parameter)

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^\nu \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q e_i^{1-a_{ij}-\nu} e_j e_i^\nu = 0 \quad (i \neq j)$$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^\nu \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q f_i^{1-a_{ij}-\nu} f_j f_i^\nu = 0 \quad (i \neq j) \quad (2)$$

$$\left(\text{Here } \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-n]_q! [n]_q!}, \quad [m]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \dots [m]_q \right).$$

Following [6] we take the extension of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ by central elements $z_i^{\pm 1} (i = 0, 1)$. There is the structure of Hopf algebra in this algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + z_i \cdot k_i \otimes e_i & \Delta(k_i^{\pm 1}) &= k_i^{\pm 1} \otimes k_i^{\pm 1} \\ \Delta(f_i) &= f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + z_i^{-1} \cdot k_i \otimes f_i & \Delta(z_i^{\pm 1}) &= z_i^{\pm 1} \otimes z_i^{\pm 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $k_i := q^{\frac{h_i}{2}}$.

Note, that in [6] another comultiplication had been considered:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(e_i) &= e_i \otimes k^{-\epsilon_i} + z_i \cdot q^{\epsilon_i} \otimes e_i & \tilde{\Delta}(q^{\epsilon_i}) &= q^{\epsilon_i} \otimes q^{\epsilon_i} \\ \tilde{\Delta}(f_i) &= f_i \otimes q^{-\epsilon_{i+1}} + z_i^{-1} \cdot q^{-\epsilon_{i+1}} \otimes f_i & \tilde{\Delta}(z_i^{\pm 1}) &= z_i^{\pm 1} \otimes z_i^{\pm 1} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\epsilon_i (i = 0, 1)$ are the orthonormal basis in Cartan subalgebra of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ and $k_i = q^{\frac{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}}{2}}$, $\epsilon_{i+2} = \epsilon_i$.

The comultiplication (4) converts into (3) by changing: $z \cdot e_i \Rightarrow e_i$, $z^{-1} \cdot f_i \Rightarrow f_i$, $z^2 z_i \Rightarrow z_i$, where $z := q^{\frac{\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1}{2}}$ lies in the center of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$.

From the representation of finite dimensional quantum algebra the parametrized representation of its affine extension can be constructed. Let's recognize this method for $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. If e, f, k are the generators of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$, then there is the homomorphism $\rho_x : \hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C}) \Rightarrow sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_x(e_0) &= x \cdot f & \rho_x(f_0) &= x^{-1} \cdot e & \rho_x(k_0^{\pm 1}) &= k^{\mp 1} \\ \rho_x(e_1) &= e & \rho_x(f_1) &= f & \rho_x(k_1^{\pm 1}) &= k^{\pm 1} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This homomorphism converts representations of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ to parametrized representation of the affine quantum algebra $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ with the central charge equal to zero.

The Hopf algebra structure allows to consider the action of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ on tensor products of representations. Let π_1 and π_2 be representations of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ on V_1 and V_2 respectively. Then, as it was mentioned above, $\pi_i(x_i) := \pi_i \circ \rho_{x_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are the representations of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. The equivalence of tensor products $\pi_1(x_1) \otimes \pi_2(x_2)$ and $\pi_2(x_2) \otimes \pi_1(x_1)$ implies a relation between parameters of the representations and means the existence of some intertwining operator $\hat{R}_{\pi_1 \pi_2}(x_1, x_2)$ from $V_1 \otimes V_2$ into $V_2 \otimes V_1$ such that [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{R}_{\pi_1 \pi_2}(x_1, x_2) \pi_1(x_1) \otimes \pi_2(x_2) (\Delta(g)) \\ &= \pi_2(x_2) \otimes \pi_1(x_1) (\Delta(g)) \hat{R}_{\pi_1 \pi_2}(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $g \in \hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. We can take $x_2 = 1, x_1 = x$ because $\hat{R}$ depends on $\frac{x_2}{x_1}$ only. Then the equations (6) for $g = f_0, f_1$ can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x) \cdot (f \otimes k^{-1} + z_1^{-1} \cdot k \otimes f) &= (f \otimes k^{-1} + z_1^{-1} \cdot k \otimes f) \cdot \hat{R}(x) \\ \hat{R}(x) \cdot (xf \otimes k + z_0 \cdot k^{-1} \otimes f) &= (f \otimes k + xz_0 \cdot k^{-1} \otimes f) \cdot \hat{R}(x)\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

From (7) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x) \cdot (1 \otimes f) &= [\Delta(f_1) \cdot \hat{R}(x) \cdot x(1 \otimes k^2) - \Delta(e_0) \cdot \hat{R}(x)] \\ &\quad \times (xz_1^{-1} \cdot k \otimes k^2 - z_0 \cdot k^{-1} \otimes 1)^{-1} \\ \hat{R}(x) \cdot (f \otimes 1) &= [\Delta(f_1) \cdot \hat{R}(x) \cdot (z_0 z_1 \cdot k^{-2} \otimes 1) - \Delta(e_0) \cdot \hat{R}(x)] \\ &\quad \times (z_0 z_1 \cdot k^{-2} \otimes k^{-1} - x(1 \otimes k))^{-1},\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where for simplicity we use the notations:

$$\Delta(f_i) := \pi_2(1) \otimes \pi_1(x) (\Delta(f_i)), \Delta(e_i) := \pi_2(1) \otimes \pi_1(x) (\Delta(e_i)).$$

If π_1 and π_2 are the highest weight representations with highest vectors $v_c^{(1)}$ and $v_c^{(2)}$ respectively and $V_1 \otimes V_2$ decomposes into direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent irreducible representations, then $\hat{R}(x)(v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)}) = \alpha \cdot (v_c^{(2)} \otimes v_c^{(1)})$, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ can be equated to 1. In this case we can use (8) to obtain the recursive formula for $\hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2} v_c^{(2)})$. In fact, using the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes k^2) (f^{r_1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2} v_c^{(2)}) &= \lambda_{(2)} q^{-2r_2} (f^{r_1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2} v_c^{(2)}), \\ (k^{-2} \otimes 1) (f^{r_1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2} v_c^{(2)}) &= \lambda_{(1)}^{-1} q^{2r_2} (f^{r_1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2} v_c^{(2)}),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (8):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2}) v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)} &= [x \lambda_{(2)}^2 q^{-2(r_2-1)} \Delta(f_1) - \Delta(e_0)] \hat{R}(x) \\ &\quad \times (f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2-1})(q^{-2r_2-r_1-2} x z_1^{-1} k \otimes k^2 - q^{r_1} z_0 k^{-1} \otimes 1)^{-1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)}\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2}) v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)} &= [\lambda_{(1)}^{-2} q^{2(r_1-1)} (z_0 z_1 \otimes 1) \Delta(f_1) - \Delta(e_0)] \\ &\quad \times \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1-1} \otimes f^{r_2})(q^{2r_1+r_2-2} z_0 z_1 k^{-2} \otimes k^{-1} - q^{-r_2} x \cdot 1 \otimes k)^{-1} v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)}\end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda_{(i)} (i = 1, 2)$ are values of k on highest vectors $v_c^{(i)}$. Using this and denoting by $z_j^{(i)}$ the values of central elements $z_j (j = 0, 1)$ on V_i , we obtain by induction from (10):

$$\begin{aligned}R(x) (f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2}) v_c^{(1)} \otimes v_c^{(2)} &= \frac{(z_1^{(1)})^{-r_1}}{\prod_{\iota=0}^{r_1+r_2-1} (q^\iota (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} z_0^{(1)} - q^{-\iota} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2) x z_c^{(1)-1})} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\iota_1=0}^{r_1-1} [\lambda_{(1)}^{-1} q^{\iota_1} z_c^{(2)} z_1^{(2)} \Delta(f_1) - \lambda_{(1)} q^{-\iota_1} \Delta(e_0)] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\iota_2=0}^{r_2-1} [\lambda_{(2)}^{-1} q^{\iota_2} \Delta(e_0) - x \lambda_{(2)} q^{-\iota_2} \Delta(f_1)] (v_c^{(2)} \otimes v_c^{(1)})\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

It can be proved that this R -matrix commutes also with $\Delta(f_0)$ and $\Delta(e_1)$ (As in example 3 the condition on parameters of representations follows from the equality of central elements in tensor products).

The formula (10) generalizes the results of [4] in case of spectral parameter depending R -matrix and any highest weight representation of quantum algebra $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. In the particular case of $x = 1, z_i = 1$, the formula (10) determines the reflection symmetric intertwiner for $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$, constructed in [4]. Note, however, that we used generators, slightly differing by ones of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$, so there are some differences in formulas. We kept track by the method of [4] in our derivation.

Consider now some examples.

Example 1. First we consider the case of general q . We put $z_i = 1$. The irreducible representations of quantum algebra for general q are the deformations of representations of corresponding classic algebra and characterized by half integer highest weight. As it was proved by in ref. [3], $\hat{R}(x)$ exists and is unique. It also satisfies Yang-Baxter equations on $\pi_1(xy) \otimes \pi_2(x) \otimes \pi_3(1)$ [1, 2]:

$$(\hat{R}(x) \otimes id)(id \otimes \hat{R}(xy)(\hat{R}(y) \otimes id) = (id \otimes \hat{R}(y))(\hat{R}(xy) \otimes id)(id \otimes \hat{R}(x)) \quad (11)$$

From the uniqueness of R -matrix it follows that constructed above operator (10) coincides with Jimbo's R -matrix in [2]. The later, which had been represented by means of projecting operators, can be also represented in the explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(x) \quad v_{r_1}^{(j_1)} \otimes v_{r_2}^{(j_2)} &= \prod_{\iota=0}^{r_1+r_2-1} \left(q^{\iota-j_1-j_2} - xq^{-(\iota-j_1-j_2)} \right)^{-1} \\ &\times \prod_{\iota_1=0}^{r_1-1} \left[q^{\iota_1-j_1} \Delta(f_1) - q^{-(\iota_1-j_1)} \Delta(e_0) \right] \\ &\times \prod_{\iota_2=0}^{r_2-1} \left[q^{\iota_2-j_2} \Delta(e_0) - xq^{-(\iota_2-j_2)} \Delta(f_1) \right] \left(v_c^{(j_2)} \otimes v_c^{(j_1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $v_k^{(j)} := f^k v_c^{(j)}$.

Example 2. Let q be a root of 1 and N be the minimal integer, such that $q^N = 1$. For simplicity we consider the case of odd N only. Then e^N, f^N, k^N lie in the center of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ [10, 11]. It follows from this that in this case appear new irreducible representations that are called periodic or cyclic [10, 11]. They are N -dimensional and parametrized by 3 complex numbers. The factorized S -matrix of $sl_q(N, \mathbf{C})$ -generalized chiral Potts model has been found first by Bazhanov et al in ref.[16]. In the article [6] Date, Jimbo, Miki and Miwa by means of [16] constructed intertwining operators (6) for the minimal cyclic representation of the $\hat{gl}_q(N, \mathbf{C})$ affine algebra with central extension. They also proved the Yang-Baxter equations between them for parameters of corresponding representations lying on some algebraic curve.

Recall that the minimal cyclic representation of $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\xi(e)w_m &= yq^{\mu_1+\mu_0}[2\mu_1-m]_q w_{m-1} & \pi_\xi(k)w_m &= q^{\mu_1-\mu_0-m-1}w_m \\ \pi_\xi(f)w_m &= y^{-1}q^{-\mu_1-\mu_0}[2\mu_0+m+2]_q w_{m+1} & \pi_\xi(z_i) &= \frac{c_i}{c_{i+1}}(i=0,1), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $c_2 := c_0, w_N := w_0, m = 0, 1 \dots N-1$, and $\xi := (q^{\mu_0}, q^{\mu_1}, y, \frac{c_1}{c_2})$ is a parameter of the representation.

After affinization of (13) and imposing comultiplication (3) we obtain minimal representation of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$, considered in [6]. (Here we use slightly different basis and comultiplication). Recall that intertwining operator: $V_\xi \otimes V'_\xi \Rightarrow V'_\xi \otimes V_\xi$ exist if ξ and ξ' lie on algebraic curve, which is parametrized by 2 complex varieties s and s' :

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^N &= s - \lambda_i & v_i^N &= s - \mu_i \\ u_i'^N &= s'_i - \lambda_i & v_i'^N &= s'_i - \mu_i \quad (i=0,1), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where:

$$\frac{u_i}{u_i'} = \frac{q^{2\mu_i}}{c_i}, \quad \frac{v_{i-1}'}{v_{i-1}} = q^{2\mu_i}c_i, \quad x_i = \omega_i^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{u_i'}{v_i'},$$

(Here $x_1 := y, x_0 := \frac{x}{y}$). The moduli parameters $\gamma := (\omega_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i)$ are fixed for different representations (μ_i is not the same variable as in (13)).

If $\mu_1 = 0$ then $\pi_\xi(e)w_0 = 0$, and periodic representation converts into semiperiodic one. It follows from (14) that $\mu_0 = \lambda_1$ in γ in this case. So, the R -matrix, which intertwines semiperiodic representations, is a particular case of R -matrix, intertwining periodic ones.¹ (For $\mu_0 = \lambda_1$, as it can be verified, there are no singularities in R -matrix.) Thus the formula (10) for R matrix can be used for semiperiodic representations. In the case of $x = c_i = z_i = 1$ we obtain R -matrix, considered in [4].

Example 3. Consider now the tensor product $V_\xi \otimes V_j$, where by V_ξ we denote the semiperiodic representation with parameter $\xi = (q^\mu, \lambda)$ and by V_j the spin j one, $2j+1 < N$. We take the following basis for V_ξ [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\xi(e)w_m^{(\xi)} &= [2\mu - m + 1]_q [m]_q w_{m-1} & \pi_\xi(k)w_m^{(\xi)} &= q^{\mu-m}w_m \\ \pi_\xi(f)w_m^{(\xi)} &= w_{m+1}, m = 0, \dots, N-2 & \pi_\xi(f)w_{N-1}^{(\xi)} &= \lambda w_0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We shall show that $\hat{R}(x)$, obtained using (10) for all $z_j^{(i)} = 1$, is an intertwiner from $V_\xi \otimes V_j$ into $V_j \otimes V_\xi$ of $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ (see (6)).

¹We use the unicity arguments of R -matrix which follows from the irreducibility of tensor product in $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$

First we note that $\hat{R}(x)$ commute with $\Delta(f_1)$ and $\Delta(e_0)$. To see this it's enough to check:

$$\frac{\prod_{\iota=0}^{N-1} [q^{\iota-\mu} \Delta(f_1) - q^{-(\iota-\mu)} \Delta(e_0)] (v_c^{(j)} \otimes v_c^{(\mu)})}{\prod_{\iota=0}^{N-1} (q^{\iota-\mu-j} - x q^{-(\iota-\mu-j)})} = \lambda(v_c^{(j)} \otimes v_c^{(\mu)})_c \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\prod_{\iota=0}^{2j} [q^{\iota-j} \Delta(e_0) - x q^{-(\iota-j)} \Delta(f_1)] (v_c^{(j)} \otimes v_c^{(\mu)})}{\prod_{\iota=0}^{2j} (q^{\iota-\mu-j} - x q^{-(\iota-\mu-j)})} = 0 \quad (17)$$

(16) can be proven using commutativity of $\Delta(f_1)$ and $\Delta(e_0)$, Gauss binomial formula:

$$\prod_{\iota=0}^{N-1} (1 - q^{2\iota} a) = \sum_{\iota=0}^N (-1)^\iota \begin{bmatrix} N \\ \iota \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\iota(\iota-1)} a^\iota \quad (= 1 + a^N \text{ for our } q),$$

the equations $\Delta(f_1)^N = f^N \otimes k^{-N} + k^N \otimes F^N$, $\Delta(e_0)^N = f^N \otimes k^N + x^N k^{-N} \otimes f^N$, the fact that $f^N|_{V_j} \equiv 0$, $k^{2N}|_{V_j} \equiv id$ and (14). To prove (17) we consider it for general q . Because of existence and uniqueness of an R -matrix for half integer values of μ the equation (17) is valid for such μ . It is evident, that the numerator in (17) can be represented as a linear combination of $v_p^{(j)} \otimes v_m^{(\mu)}$, $p + m = 2j + 1$, with the coefficients, which are μ -independent polynomials on q^μ . Because of vanishing such polynomials on infinite numbers of points $q^{half\ integer}$ they are vanished trivially. So, (17) is valid.

Now recall the fact that $V_\xi \otimes V_j$ as a $sl_q(2, \mathbf{C})$ module is a fully reducible. It decomposes into $2j + 1$ semiperiodic representations $V_\xi(i)$, $i = 1..2j + 1$ ([12]). From this it follows that $\Delta(e_1)$ and $\Delta(f_0)$ also commute with $\hat{R}(x)$. Indeed, if $v_c^{(\mu_i)}$ is highest weight of $V_\xi(i)$ then considering the half integer values of μ as above it can be proven that $\Delta(f_0)\hat{R}(x)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = \Delta(e_1)\hat{R}(x)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = 0$. Then:

$$\Delta(e_1)\hat{R}(x)\Delta(f_1^k)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = \Delta(e_1)\Delta(f_1^k)\hat{R}(x)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = \hat{R}(x)\Delta(e_1)\Delta(f_1)v_c^{(\mu_i)}$$

$$\Delta(f_0)\hat{R}(x)\Delta(e_0^k)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = \Delta(f_0)\Delta(e_0^k)\hat{R}(x)v_c^{(\mu_i)} = \hat{R}(x)\Delta(f_0)\Delta(e_0)v_c^{(\mu_i)}$$

So, we proved the $\hat{R}(x)$ is an intertwiner from $V_\xi \otimes V_j$ to $V_j \otimes V_\xi$ of affine $\hat{sl}_q(2, \mathbf{C})$. The Yang-Baxter equations on $V_\xi \otimes V_j \otimes V_j$ can be proven using the irreducibility of tensor product as in [6].

At the end we write the components of $\hat{R}(x) : V_\xi \otimes V_j \Rightarrow V_j \otimes V_\xi$ for $N = 3, j = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{00}^{00}(x) &= 1 & \hat{R}_{10}^{10}(x) &= -\frac{[2\mu]}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} & \hat{R}_{10}^{01}(x) &= \frac{(-\mu + \frac{1}{2})_x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} \\ \hat{R}_{01}^{10}(x) &= \frac{(\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} & \hat{R}_{01}^{01}(x) &= \hat{R}_{11}^{02}(x) & &= -\frac{x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{11}^{11}(x) &= \frac{(\mu - \frac{3}{2})_x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} & \hat{R}_{20}^{02}(x) &= \frac{x(1)_x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x(-\mu + \frac{3}{2})_x} \\
\hat{R}_{20}^{11}(x) &= \frac{x[\mu - \frac{3}{2}] + (\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x(1-x)}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x(-\mu + \frac{1}{2})_x} & \hat{R}_{21}^{00}(x) &= \frac{\alpha x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x} \\
\hat{R}_{21}^{12}(x) &= \frac{x[2\mu - 3] + (\mu - \frac{3}{2})_x(-\mu + \frac{1}{2})_x}{(-\mu - \frac{1}{2})_x(-\mu + \frac{3}{2})_x}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we omitted the index q in $[n]_q$ and used the notation:

$$(n)_x := \frac{q^n - xq^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

We would like to thank V.Bazhanov for a comments.

Note added. When this work was finished and submitted for a publication B.M.McCoy kindly informed us that similar results was obtained by I.T.Ivanov and D.B.Uglov in the article Phys.Lett. A 167 (1992) 459, for which we express our gratitudes to him.

References

- [1] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, ICM proceedings, p.798-820, New York: Berkeley 1986.
- [2] M. Jimbo, *A q-analoue of $U(gl(N+1))$; Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247.
- [3] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for generalized Toda system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **102** (1986) N.4.
V.V. Bazhanov, *Trigonometric solutions of triangle equations and classical Lie algebras*, Phys. Lett. **159B** (1985) 321
- [4] C. Gomez, G. Sierra, *A new solution to the star-triangle equation based on $U_q(sl(2))$ at root of unit*, Preprint CERN (1991).
- [5] C. Gomez, G. Sierra, M. Ruiz-Altaba, *New R-matrixes associated with finite dimentional representations of $U(sl(2))$ at root of unit*, Phys. Lett. **B265** (1991) 95.
- [6] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa, *Generalized Chiral Potts models and minimal cyclic representations of $U_q(\hat{gl}(n, \mathbf{C}))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **137**, (1991) N.1.

- [7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa,
Cyclic representations of $U_q\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ at $q^N = 1$, preprint RIMS-703 (1990), Publ. RIMS.
- [8] R.B. Zhang, M.D. Gould, A.J. Bracken, *From representations of the braid group to the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Nucl. Phys. **354** (1991) 625.
- [9] V.V. Bazhanov, Yu.J. Stroganov, *Chiral Potts model as a descendent of the six vertex model*, J. Stat. Phys. **59** (1990) 799.
- [10] C. De Concini, V.G. Kac, *Representations of quantum group at roots of 1*, Progress in Math **92** (1990) 471, Birkhauser.
- [11] D. Arnaudon, A. Chakrabarti, *Periodic and partially periodic representations of $SU(N)_q$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 461;
Flat periodic representations of $U(g)$, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 605.
- [12] D. Arnaudon, *Fusion rules and R-matrix for the composition of regular spins with semiperiodic representations of $SL(2)_q$* , Phys. Lett. **B268** (1991) 217.
- [13] D. Arnaudon, *New Fusion rules and R-matrices for $SL(N)_q$ at roots of unity*, preprint CERN-TH 6324/91 (1991).
- [14] D. Arnaudon, *Fusion rules and R-matrices for representations of $SL(2)_q$ at roots of unity*, preprint CERN-TH 6416/92 (1992).
- [15] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Quantized universal enveloping algebras, the Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of link*, 1.: LOMI preprint E-4-87, 1988.
- [16] V.V. Bazhanov, R.M. Kashaev, V.V. Mangazeev, Yu. G. Stroganov, $(\mathbf{Z}_N)^{n-1}$ *generalization of the chiral Potts model*, Comm. Math. Phys. **138** (1991) 393
- [17] H. Au-Yang, B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk, S. Tang and M. Yan, Phys. Lett. **123A** (1987) 219;
B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk, S. Tang and C.H. Sah, Phys. Lett. **125A** (1987) 9
- [18] R.J. Baxter, J.H.H. Perk and H. Au-Yang, Phys. Lett. **128A** (1988) 138